(i)	(2)	(3)	(4).	(5)	(6)	(7)
Haryana			2.22	4.40	3.01	1.10
Himachal Pradesh	0.65			0.66		2.06
Jammu&Kashmir	0.09		0.73	2.21	10.58	1.16
Karnataka	0.06	0.49	025	5.51	10.04	1.36
Kerala .		*1.16*	9.51 *a*	13.07	15.11	5.61
Madhya Pradesh			0.21	0.43 '	4.06	0.26
Maharashtra	0.10	*0.41*	1.26	1.84	4.59	0.88
Orissa .			0-29	6.54	16.17	0.96
Punjab .	0-19	0.52		4.16	202	0.96
Rajasthan					6.59	0.26
Tamil Nadu	0.13	1-41	2.90	7.14	10.75	1.96
Uttar Pradesh	0-23			1.03	2.88	0.42
West Bengal	0.15	1.40	4.07	4.99	11.96	2.58
ALL INDIA :	0.12	0.58	1.84	3.74	6 30	120

NOTB:'—'indicates nil sample households with unemployed in persons with educational level specified the column heading.

Patronisation of Khalistan terrorists In Britain

1148 SHRl V. NARAYANASAMY: Will tbe Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased t_0 state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the terrorists who were claiming to be Khalistan Organisation members have been patronised in Britain and they are getting all assistance from Britain for their terrorist activities in India; and

(b) if so, whether the Government of India has taken up the issue with *the British* Government to stop their activities in helping terrorists of Punjab?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI K. NATWAR SINGH): (a) There is no evidence to suggest that 'Khalistani' organisations *are "getting assistance from* Britain for their terrorist activities in India," however it is a fact that since mid-1984 anti-Indian activity by 'Khalistani' extrimists in the U.K. markedly increased. Several anti-Indian extremes organisations in London reactivated themselves and have been indulging in anti-Indian activities aimed at exacer.bating the situation in Punjab.

(b) Government have repeatedly taken up the question of anti-Indian activities by extremists in the U.K. with the Government of the United *Kingdom at various levels. The British* Government have repeatedly given assurances that they are making every effort to curb terrorist activity by Khalistani elements.